

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

FIGURES

Figure 2.1: Distribution map of microblade sites in China mentioned in the text.....	8
Figure 2.2: Microblade cores from Chaisi	9
Figure 2.3: Microblade cores from Xiachuan.....	10
Figure 2.4: Microblade cores from Lingjing.....	11
Figure 2.5: Wedge-shaped cores from Xueguan.....	12
Figure 2.6: Wedge-shaped cores from Hutouliang	12
Figure 2.7: Microblade cores from Shizitan	14
Figure 2.8: Microblade cores from Yaozitou	14
Figure 2.9: Microblade cores from the Yushe sites.....	15
Figure 2.10: Microblade cores from Youfang.....	16
Figure 2.11: Microblade cores from Dabusu	17
Figure 2.12: Wedge-shaped cores from Jiqitan.....	19
Figure 2.13: Wedge-shaped cores from Fukui Cave.....	21
Figure 2.14: Wedge-shaped cores from Suyanggae.....	21
Figure 2.15: Wedge-shaped cores from the Dyuktai culture.....	22
Figure 2.16: Wedge-shaped cores from Dry Creek.....	23
Figure 2.17: Wedge-shaped cores from the Campus site.....	24
Figure 2.18: Schematic drawing of six major types of microblade cores.....	26
Figure 3.1: The study region showing the Shandong archaeological sites mentioned in the text.....	40
Figure 3.2: Stratigraphic profiles of the Heilongtan 2001 excavation	43
Figure 3.3: Microblade artifacts from the Fenghuangling site	45
Figure 3.4: Microblade artifacts from the Qingfengling site	45
Figure 3.5: Artifacts from the Wanghailou site.....	47
Figure 3.6: Artifacts from the Heilongtan site	48
Figure 3.7: Distribution of core type frequencies	50
Figure 3.8: Distribution of formal type frequencies	50
Figure 3.9: Distribution of debitage frequencies	51
Figure 3.10: Distribution of flaking debris frequencies.....	51
Figure 3.11: Shandong lithic industries in the Late Pleistocene.....	52
Figure 4.1: Distribution of Japanese microblade industry sites in 2003.....	54
Figure 4.2: Chronology and ¹⁴ C dates of the Upper Palaeolithic and Incipient Jomon in Japan.....	56
Figure 4.3: Part of the Yubetsu method including the Yubetsu technique, Pirika sub-technique, and the Horoka techniques	58
Figure 4.4: The types of microblade core from Hokkaido.....	59
Figure 4.5: Archaeological areas of Japanese microblade industries	61
Figure 4.6: The Yubetsu method industry of Araya site in Tohoku	62
Figure 4.7: Chronology of microblade industries in southern Kanto	64
Figure 4.8: Settlement system of southern Kanto in the Upper Palaeolithic.....	65
Figure 4.9: Comparison between the Yadegawa and Yubetsu method industries in central Japan.....	66
Figure 4.10: Bifacial reduction technique of the Nakappara site.....	67
Figure 4.11: Yubetsu method industry of Onbara 2 site in southwestern Japan	69
Figure 4.12: The types of microblade core of Kyushu	70
Figure 4.13: Distribution of microblade core types in southwestern Japan.....	71
Figure 4.14: Microblade detaching techniques of Kyushu	72
Figure 4.15: The major obsidian sources in Japan.....	74
Figure 4.16: Obsidian procurement system in central Honshu.....	75
Figure 5.1: Map showing the location of the Japanese Islands and Northeast Asia	80

Figure 5.2: Reduction sequences of the microblade industries: The Yubetsu technique, northeastern Honshu	82
Figure 5.3: Topographic map of northeastern Honshu showing the distribution of the sources of siliceous hard shale and location of sites of the microblade industries and the bifacial point industries	84
Figure 5.4: Comparison between percentages of siliceous hard shale and other raw materials in conjunction with distance from sites to the sources of siliceous hard shale in microblade industries	85
Figure 5.5: Comparison between percentages of siliceous hard shale and other raw materials in conjunction with distance from sites to the sources of siliceous hard shale in bifacial point industries.....	86
Figure 6.1: Primary microlithic yielding localities on the Korean Peninsula.....	92
Figure 6.2: Overview of the Sokchangni site.....	94
Figure 6.3: Microblade core (length 32 mm) from Sokchangni site.....	95
Figure 6.4: Overview of the Suyanggae site.....	95
Figure 6.5: Boat-shaped microblade core (length 71 mm) from Suyanggae site	97
Figure 6.6: Microblade core (length 25 mm) from Hahwagyeri site.....	97
Figure 6.7: Quartz microblades (far left blade - length 21 mm) from Hahwagyeri site	97
Figure 6.8: Microblade core (length 37 mm) from Wolpyeong site	98
Figure 6.9: Microblade core (length 45 mm) with refits from Okkwa site.....	100
Figure 7.1: Approximate locations of microlithic sites in the Korean Peninsula	104
Figure 7.2: Microblade cores and associated artifacts from Sokchang-ri.....	105
Figure 7.3: Microblade cores from the central part of the Korean Peninsula.....	107
Figure 7.4: Microblade cores from the southern part of the Korean Peninsula	110
Figure 7.5: Tanged points and bifacial points associated with microliths in Korea	111
Figure 7.6: Burins and endscrapers associated with microliths in Korea	112
Figure 8.1: ¹⁴ C dates of the earliest microblade complexes in Northern Asia	116
Figure 8.2: Microblade complexes in Northern Asia, c. 35,000–30,000 BP	117
Figure 8.3: Microblade complexes in Northern Asia, c. 30,000–25,000 BP	117
Figure 8.4: Microblade complexes in Northern Asia, c. 25,000–20,000 BP	120
Figure 8.5: The Upper Palaeolithic sites in Siberia during the Last Glacial Maximum, c. 20,000–18,000 BP	122
Figure 8.6: Histogram showing the number of ¹⁴ C-dated microblade sites in Northern Asia for c. 35,000–20,000 BP.....	124
Figure 9.1: Russian, Mongolian, Chinese, Korean, and Japanese sites mentioned in the text	126
Figure 9.2: Cores from Ust-Karakol 1	128
Figure 9.3: Microblades from Ust-Karakol 1 and cores from Anui 2.....	129
Figure 9.4: Core from Denisova Cave	130
Figure 9.5: Artifacts from Novoselovo 13	135
Figure 9.6: Cores from the Mal'ta site.....	137
Figure 9.7: Artifacts from Krasny Yar	138
Figure 9.8: Cores from Kamenka B.....	139
Figure 9.9: Cores from Alekseevsk 1.....	140
Figure 9.10: Chikhen Agui microblade core.....	143
Figure 9.11: Cores from China	144
Figure 10.1: Alaskan and Yukon sites mentioned in text.....	148
Figure 10.2: Siberian sites mentioned in text.....	150
Figure 10.3: Denali component of the Dry Creek site	152
Figure 10.4: Nenana complex at the Walker Road site.....	153
Figure 10.5: Mesa site complex	154
Figure 10.6: Spein Mountain site (Mesa complex).....	155
Figure 10.7: Onion Portage site	156

Figure 10.8: Swan Point site, upper (a–c), middle (d–j), and lower (k–o) components	157
Figure 10.9: Broken Mammoth site, upper (a–l) and middle (m–q) components	158
Figure 10.10: Ground Hog Bay, site 2, lower component	159
Figure 10.11: Hidden Falls site, lower component	160
Figure 10.12: Chuck Lake site	161
Figure 10.13: Anangula Blade site	162
Figure 10.14: Hog Island site	163
Figure 10.15: Bluefish Cave 2 site	164
Figure 10.16: Kelly Creek site	165
Figure 10.17: Whitmore Ridge site	167
Figure 10.18: Lime Hills Cave 1 site	168
Figure 10.19: Trail Creek Cave 2 site	169
Figure 11.1: Early Holocene Northwest Coast microblade sites	172
Figure 11.2: Early Holocene microblade cores from Haida Gwaii	174
Figure 11.3: Microblade cores from the British Columbia central coast and Vancouver Island	175
Figure 11.4: Microblade sites from the eastern slopes of the Rocky Mountains	176
Figure 11.5: Distribution of a sample of microblade technology sites	179
Figure 11.6: Histograms of dates for microblade sites and components, 1000 year intervals	180
Figure 11.7: Surface contour plot of microblade sites, oldest dates only, 1000 year interval, Kriging method	181
Figure 11.8: Surface contour plot of microblade sites, oldest dates only, 1000 year interval, inverse distance to power method, varying degrees of smoothing	183
Figure 11.9: Distribution of Northwest Microblade tradition sites and Athapaskan groups at contact	185
Figure 11.10: Model of the spread of Early Holocene microblade technology in western North America, thousands of radiocarbon years before present	187

TABLES

Table 2.1: Dimensional comparison of wedge-shaped cores from some major sites in China	37
Table 2.2: Dimensional comparison of wedge-shaped cores from Japan, Korea, Eastern Siberia and North America	37
Table 3.1: Lithic assemblages of the Fenghuangling, Qinfengling, Wanghailou, and Heilongtan sites in Shandong	46
Table 3.2: Tool types of the four lithic assemblages	49
Table 4.1: Technological classification of Yubetsu method in Hokkaido	57
Table 4.2: Classification of microblade techniques in Japan	73
Table 4.3: Sources of obsidian artifacts used in microblade industries of the Sagamino Plateau	77
Table 5.1: Raw material variety of microblade and bifacial point industries	87
Table 5.2: Lithic artifact assemblages by parent rocks of siliceous hard shale	88
Table 5.3: The number and weight of siliceous hard shale and other raw materials in the microblade industries	89
Table 5.4: The number and weight of siliceous hard shale and other raw materials in the bifacial point industries	89
Table 6.1: Primary microlithic localities on the Korean Peninsula	93
Table 7.1: Microlithic sites and artifacts in the Korean Peninsula	108
Table 8.1: Radiocarbon dates associated with the earliest microblade complexes in Northern Asia	118
Table 11.1: List of dated microblade sites and oldest dates (years BP, uncorrected) used in the analyses	178
Table 12.1: Numerical significance of microblades in early assemblages with comparative figures from Japan	192
Table 12.2: Comparison of techno-typological classifications	195